ECONOMIC STATISTICS

ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Sponsored by a Grant TÁMOP-4.1.2-08/2/A/KMR-2009-0041

Course Material Developed by Department of Economics,
Faculty of Social Sciences, Eötvös Loránd University Budapest (ELTE)
Department of Economics, Eötvös Loránd University Budapest
Institute of Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Balassi Kiadó, Budapest

NEW SZÉCHENYI PLAN

HUNGARY'S RENEWAL

The project is supported by the European Union.

National Development Agency
www.ujszechenyiterv.gov.hu
06 40 638 638

HUNGARY'S RENEWAL

The projects have been supported by the European Union.

ELTE Faculty of Social Sciences, Department of Economics

ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Author: Anikó Bíró

Supervised by Anikó Bíró

June 2010

ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Week 13

Time series analysis, further topics
Summary

Anikó Bíró

End of semester essay – remarks

- Results contrary to expectations are also informative!

- Results are often not unambiguous – e.g. sensitive to specification

Summary

1st part: cross sectional data

- Descriptive statisitcs, correlation, OLS

2nd part: time series analysis

- Distributed lag models – total effect, lag length selection
- Univariate time series analysis – autocorrelation, testing unit root, trend, seasonality
- Time series regressions– ADL(p,q) model, cointegration, ECM

Most important points

- Descriptive statistics:
  - Median, deciles, histogram
  - Correlation and its square
- OLS:
  - Interpretation of coefficients (ceteris paribus)
  - Hypothesis testing
- Time series analysis:
  - Importance of stationarity

Outlook

- Statistics, probability calculus
  - E.g. standard deviation, probability distributions, hypothesis testing
- Introduction to econometrics
  - E.g. precise properties of OLS estimation
- Microeconometrics
- Macrostatistics

Asset prices

- Volatility (variability) – why is it of importance?

- Examples:

  Stock prices, stock market indices, foreign exchange rates

Random walk

- Random walk:

$$\Delta Y_t = e_t$$

- Random walk with drift:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + e_t$$

- Market efficiency – no arbitrage
- Exchange rate cannot be forecasted
- Question: can volatility be modeled?

Measuring volatility

- Assumption: random walk holds
- Volatility measure: $(\Delta y_t)^2$
  - Positive
  - Bigger change – bigger volatility
  - Different at every time point
  - = variance at a given time point
- Modeling: e.g. AR(1)

$$\Delta y_t^{\,2} = \alpha + \phi \Delta y_{t-1}^{\,2} + e_t$$

ARCH: modeling the residual of an AR(p) model

Example

Forint/Euro (ECU) monthly central exchange rate, 1996–2009



Example, cont.

Volatility:

ADF-test:
unit root
process

- Volatility is persistent



Causal relationships

- Correlation: no causality
- Regression: economic considerations about causality – dependent vs. explanatory variables
- Time series data: past data can cause present data, other way round not possible

Granger-causality

- X Granger-causes Y if past values of X can help forecasting Y
- Not necessarily true causality!

- Assumption here: stationary variables

ADL(1,1) model:

$$Y_t = \alpha + \phi Y_{t-1} + \beta X_{t-1} + e_t$$

$$\text{Testing lack of Granger causality}: \mathrm{H}_0: \ \beta = 0$$

ADL(p,q) model

$$Y_t = \alpha + \delta t + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + \beta_1 X_{t-1} + \ldots + \beta_q X_{t-q} + e_t$$

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_q = 0$$

$$H_1 : \text{any } \beta_j \neq 0 \quad j = 1,\ldots,q$$

Good approximation: if any β significant – X Granger-causes Y

Correct: joint test of several coefficients

EViews: View/Coefficient tests/Wald test

F-statistic – small P-value: H_0 rejected

Example: exchange rate and export

- 1996–2009 monthly MNB data
- Log difference, export: seasonally adjusted

- Estimation of ADL(3,6) model – does the exchange rate Granger-cause the export?

Estimation results

Dependent Variable: DLOG_EXP_SA

Sample(adjusted): 1996:08 2009:04

Variable	Coefficient	Prob.
C	0,0324	0,0013
DLOG_EXP_SA(-1)	-0,6367	0,0000
DLOG_EXP_SA(-2)	-0,1867	0,0478
DLOG_EXP_SA(-3)	0,2420	0,0032
DLOG_EUR(-1)	-0,1133	0,6332
DLOG_EUR(-2)	-0,1918	0,4492
DLOG_EUR(-3)	-0,0600	0,8183
DLOG_EUR(-4)	-0,2586	0,3348
DLOG_EUR(-5)	0,3938	0,1445
DLOG_EUR(-6)	0,5185	0,0465
@TREND	-0,0002	0,0272
R-squared	0,4428	

Testing Granger-causality

H_0: exchange rate coefficients jointly = 0

Wald Test:

Test Statistic	Value	df	Prob.
F-statistic	1,971	(6, 142)	0,0736
Chi-square	11,829	6	0,0659

Two-way relationships

- Example: stationary variables (e.g. differenced)
- 2 variables: X, Y – Granger-causality and reverse Granger-causality with ADL(p,q) model:

$$Y_t = \alpha_1 + \delta_1 t + \phi_{11} Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_{1p} Y_{t-p} + \beta_{11} X_{t-1} + \ldots + \beta_{1q} X_{t-q} + e_{1t}$$

$$X_t = \alpha_2 + \delta_2 t + \phi_{21} X_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_{2p} X_{t-p} + \beta_{21} Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \beta_{2q} Y_{t-q} + e_{2t}$$

- The 2 equations jointly: VAR model

VAR model

- Generalization of AR model for more variables
- More dependent variables – more equations
- Lags of all variables are included in each equation
- Usual approach: same lag length for each variables– VAR(p)
- Deterministic trend can be included

VAR model – why useful?

- Testing Granger-causality
- Uncertain direction of causality
  - Interest rate – foreign exchange rate, inflation – foreign exchange rate
  - Price levels of substitute goods
- ”Atheoretical”
- Good predictive power

Example: RMPY

1947Q1–1992Q4, U.S. data (source: RMPY.xls textbook database)

- Interest rate of 3-month government bond
- Money supply (bn USD)
- GDP-deflator (1987=1)
- Real GDP (bn USD, 1987 price)

Estimation of VAR(1) model

- Stationary variables
- 4 equations separately

Or with EViews:

- Quick/Estimate VAR
- Interpretation of output:
  - Significance, Granger-causality?
  - Sign, size of coefficients?

VAR(1) estimation results

Sample(adjusted): 1947:3 1992:4
t-statistics in [ ]

	DLM	DLP	DLR	DLY
DLM(-1)	0,749455	0,120612	3,390617	0,283097
	[15,1413]	[2,32848]	[2,73419]	[3,36818]
DLP(-1)	0,060612	0,519014	1,778745	-0,116885
	[1,03368]	[8,45814]	[1,21081]	[-1,17390]
DLR(-1)	-0,012993	0,009935	0,221877	0,000381
	[-4,38043]	[3,20071]	[2,98575]	[0,07561]
DLY(-1)	-0,031576	-0,038780	3,224227	0,308554
	[-0,70792]	[-0,83081]	[2,88528]	[4,07383]
C	0,003351	0,001589	-0,035747	0,004986
	[3,23615]	[1,46617]	[-1,37778]	[2,83544]
@TREND	3,41E-06	1,81E-05	-0,000562	-3,13E-05
	[0,39252]	[1,99189]	[-2,57979]	[-2,12328]

Lag length selection in VAR models

One approach:

- Maximal reasonable lag length: p_{max}
- Estimate VAR(p_{max})
- If any of the lags of order p_{max} significant: finished
- Otherwise: decrease lag length by one

Supplementary topics – summary

- Modeling volatility
- Granger-causality: concept, testing
- VAR models – introduction

Time series analysis, further topics

Seminar 13

Modeling volatility

- Assumption: random walk holds $\Delta Y_t = e_t$
- Volatility measure: $(\Delta y_t)^2$
  - Positive
  - Bigger change – bigger volatility
  - Different at every time point
  - = variance at a given time point
- Modeling: e.g. pl. AR(1)

$$\Delta y_t^{\,2} = \alpha + \phi \Delta y_{t-1}^{\,2} + e_t$$

Example

BUX daily closing level, January–June 2009

Volatility graph?

Is volatility stationary in this period?



Granger-causality

- X Granger-causes Y if past values of X can help forecasting Y
- Not necessarily true causality!

- Assumption here: stationary variables

ADL(1,1) model :

$$Y_t = \alpha + \phi Y_{t-1} + \beta X_{t-1} + e_t$$

$$\text{Testing lack of Granger causality} : \mathrm{H}_0 : \ \beta = 0$$

ADL(p,q) model

$$Y_t = \alpha + \delta t + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + \beta_1 X_{t-1} + \ldots + \beta_q X_{t-q} + e_t$$

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \ldots = \beta_q = 0$$

$$H_1 : \text{any } \beta_j \neq 0 \quad j = 1,\ldots,q$$

Joint test of several coefficients

- EViews: View/Coefficient tests/Wald test
- F-statistic – small P-value: H_0 rejected

Example: exchange rate and export

- 1996–2009 monthly MNB data
- Export: seasonally adjusted
- Log(export), log(exchange rate) stationary?
- Log difference stationary?

- Estimate ADL(3,6) model – does the exchange rate Granger-cause the export?

VAR model

- Generalization of AR model for more variables
- More dependent variables – more equations
- Lags of all variables are included in each equation
- Usual approach: same lag length for each variables– VAR(p)
- Deterministic trend can be included

Example: RMPY

RMPY.wf1

1947Q1–1992Q4, U.S. data

- Interest rate of 3-month government bond
- Money supply (bn USD)
- GDP-deflator (1987=1)
- Real GDP (bn USD, in 1987 price)

Logarithm of levels and dlog – Stationarity?
Cointegration? (6 equations)

Estimation of VAR(1) model

- Stationary variables

EViews:

- Quick/Estimate VAR
- Interpretation of output:
  - Significance, Granger-causality?
  - Sign, size of coefficients?

Lag length in VAR models

One approach:

- Maximal reasonable lag length: p_{max}
- Estimate VAR(p_{max})
- If any of the lags of order p_{max} significant: finished
- Otherwise: decrease lag length by one

Example: RMPY model?

Thank You for using this teaching material.

We welcome any questions, critical notes or comments we can use to improve it.
Comments are to be sent to our email address listed at our homepage,

eltecon.hu