

Introduction to Logic and Computer Science
Foundation of Computer Science
Logic in Computer Science
Lecture #3: semantics

Tamás Mihálydeák, László Aszalós

This work was supported by the construction EFOP-3.4.3-16-2016-00021.
The project was supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

- 1 Interpretation
- 2 Semantic value
- 3 Satisfiability
- 4 Unsatisfiability
- 5 Logical consequence, validity

Interpretation

Definition (interpretation)

The function ϱ is an **interpretation** of the language $L^{(0)}$ if

- 1 $Dom(\varrho) = Con$
- 2 If $p \in Con$, then $\varrho(p) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Semantic value

Definition (semantic value)

Let ϱ be an interpretation and $|A|_{\varrho}$ be the **semantic value of the formula A** with respect to ϱ .

- 1 If $p \in \text{Con}$, then $|p|_{\varrho} = \varrho(p)$
- 2 If $A \in \text{Form}$, then $|\neg A|_{\varrho} = 1 - |A|_{\varrho}$.
- 3 If $A, B \in \text{Form}$, then
 - ▶ $|(A \supset B)|_{\varrho} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |A|_{\varrho} = 1, \text{ and } |B|_{\varrho} = 0; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
 - ▶ $|(A \wedge B)|_{\varrho} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |A|_{\varrho} = 1, \text{ and } |B|_{\varrho} = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
 - ▶ $|(A \vee B)|_{\varrho} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |A|_{\varrho} = 0, \text{ and } |B|_{\varrho} = 0; \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
 - ▶ $|(A \equiv B)|_{\varrho} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |A|_{\varrho} = |B|_{\varrho}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Semantic value

Example

Con = $\{p, q\}$, let $\varrho(p) = 1$ and $\varrho(q) = 0$.

Then $|q|_{\varrho} = 0$, $|p|_{\varrho} = 1$, $|(q \supset p)|_{\varrho} = 1$, $|\neg(q \supset p)|_{\varrho} = 0$, $|\neg p|_{\varrho} = 0$,
 $|\neg(q \supset p) \vee \neg p|_{\varrho} = 0$

Models, satisfiable

Definition (model of a set of formulas)

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$ be a set of formulas. An interpretation ϱ is a **model of the set of formulae Γ** , if $|A|_{\varrho} = 1$ for all $A \in \Gamma$.

Definition (model of a formula)

A **model of a formula A** is the model of the singleton $\{A\}$.

Definition (satisfiable - set of formulas)

The **set of formulae $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$ is satisfiable** if it has a model.

- If there is an interpretation in which all members of the set Γ are true.

Definition (satisfiable formula)

A **formula $A \in \text{Form}$ is satisfiable**, if the singleton $\{A\}$ is satisfiable.

Satisfiability

Remark

- A satisfiable set of formulae does not involve a logical contradiction;
 - ▶ its formulae may be true together.
- A satisfiable formula may be true.
- If a set of formulae is satisfiable, then its members are satisfiable.
- But: all members of the set $\{p, \neg p\}$ are satisfiable, and the set is not satisfiable.

Subset property of satisfiability

Theorem

All subsets of a satisfiable set are satisfiable.

Proof

- Let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$ be a set of formulae and $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$.
- Γ is satisfiable: it has a model. Let ϱ be a model of Γ .
- A property of ϱ :
 - ▶ If $A \in \Gamma$, then $|A|_{\varrho} = 1$
- Since $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$, if $A \in \Delta$, then $A \in \Gamma$, and so $|A|_{\varrho} = 1$. That is the interpretation ϱ is a model of Δ , and so Δ is satisfiable.

Unsatisfiable

Definition (unsatisfiable set)

The set $\Gamma \subseteq Form$ is **unsatisfiable** if it is not satisfiable.

Definition (unsatisfiable formula)

A **formula** $A \in Form$ is **unsatisfiable** if the singleton $\{A\}$ is unsatisfiable.

Remark

A unsatisfiable set of formulae involve a logical contradiction.

- *Its members cannot be true together.*

Superset property of unsatisfiability

Theorem

All expansions of an unsatisfiable set of formulae are unsatisfiable.

Indirect proof

- Suppose that $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}$ is an unsatisfiable set of formulae and $\Delta \subseteq \text{Form}$ is a set of formulas.
- Indirect condition: Γ is unsatisfiable, and $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ satisfiable.
- $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma \cup \Delta$
- According to the former theorem Γ is satisfiable, and it is a contradiction.

Logical consequence, validity

Definition

A **formula A is the logical consequence of the set of formulae Γ** if the set $\Gamma \cup \{\neg A\}$ is unsatisfiable. (Notation : $\Gamma \models A$)

Definition

$A \models B$, if $\{A\} \models B$.

Definition

The **formula A is valid** if $\emptyset \models A$. (Notation: $\models A$)

Definition

The **formulae A and B are logically equivalent** if $A \models B$ and $B \models A$.

- Notation: $A \Leftrightarrow B$